

Understanding Zero-Click Search

Why search demand keeps rising while clicks disappear — and what it means for how you measure, attribute, and win.

THE ONE-SENTENCE ANSWER

A zero-click search is any search that ends without the user clicking through to a website, because the answer is delivered on the results surface itself — in an AI Overview, a featured snippet, a knowledge panel, or an AI assistant. **As of early 2026, 68% of Google searches end this way.** Demand hasn't fallen; the click has been retired.

This primer explains what zero-click search is, why it accelerated in 2024–2026, and the tell-tale pattern it leaves in your data — rising impressions and rankings alongside a falling click-through rate. It draws on published third-party research and on an anonymized production dataset: three years of Google Search Console and analytics data from a mid-market U.S. software company, reviewed with permission and reported here without identifying figures.

1. What “zero-click” actually means

A **zero-click search** is a query that is answered without a click to any external site. The searcher gets what they need directly on the results page — or inside an AI assistant — and never visits a publisher. The information still came from websites; those sites simply were not visited.

The surfaces that produce zero-click results:

- **AI Overviews (formerly SGE)** — Google's generative answer at the top of results, synthesized from multiple sources and shown with citations.
- **Featured snippets & “People Also Ask”** — extracted answers and expandable Q&A that resolve the query in place.
- **Knowledge panels & instant answers** — definitions, calculators, weather, conversions, and entity facts answered on-page.
- **AI assistants** — ChatGPT, Perplexity, Gemini, and Copilot answer directly and may or may not link out.

The unifying mechanic: **your content can be shown — and can influence the decision — without Google or the AI owing you a click.**

2. Why it accelerated in 2024–2026

Answer engines changed the contract. For two decades, being shown in search meant a chance at a click; ranking well meant traffic. Generative answers broke that link. When an AI Overview summarizes the answer — citing you — the user’s need is met above the blue links. The impression still fires. The ranking can even improve. The click does not happen.

The independent evidence is consistent and recent:

- **Zero-click share is climbing fast.** SparkToro’s recurring study puts the share of U.S. Google searches that end without a click at 60.45% in 2024 and 68.01% across the first four months of 2026 — the steepest two-year move it has recorded. Clicks reaching the open web fell from 374 to 276 per 1,000 searches, a 26% reduction in two years.
- **AI Overviews roughly halve the click rate.** Pew Research Center, tracking the real browsing behavior of 900 U.S. adults, found users clicked a traditional result on 8% of searches that showed an AI summary versus 15% of searches without one. Clicks on links inside the summary occurred on about 1% of visits. Users were also likelier to end the session entirely (26% vs 16%).

Both findings point the same way: the surface is answering more, and sending less. Neither says demand is falling — only that the *click* is no longer the unit search pays you in.

THE SHIFT IN ONE LINE

Search moved from a **directory** that sends you traffic to an **answer engine** that spends your content to satisfy the user in place. Visibility and traffic have decoupled.

3. The signature pattern: demand up, clicks flat

Zero-click search leaves a distinctive fingerprint in Search Console: **impressions and average position rise while click-through rate falls**. This is the opposite of an SEO problem — losing rankings or content decay would push positions *down*. Here, positions reach record highs while CTR reaches record lows. The click was removed above you, not lost by you.

The anonymized case — three years of Google Search Console data from a mid-market U.S. software site, indexed to its 2023 baseline:

Metric	2023 baseline	Three years later	Change
Monthly impressions	1.0×	3.1×	+206%
Average position	26.6	9.4	Best on record
Click-through rate	2.88%	1.41%	≈ halved
Clicks per 1,000 impressions	~30	~12	−60%

Read that last row twice. **A unit of demand now pays about a third of what it used to.** The site's best-ever click month required roughly four times the demand its 2023 self needed to produce the same number of clicks.

HOW TO TELL IT APART FROM AN SEO PROBLEM

Every SEO failure mode — lost rankings, cannibalization, technical decay — predicts **worse** average position. Rankings up with CTR halved is not an execution shape; it is a **SERP shape**. And it is query-class specific: calculators, definitions, and how-tos (the most answerable queries) show the biggest impression–click gap, while brand queries keep their CTR.

4. Where the missing clicks actually go

The demand doesn't vanish. It re-routes into four destinations — only one of which your analytics labels honestly:

Destination	What happens	How analytics labels it
Zero-click	The answer fully satisfied the user; no visit.	Nothing — invisible
Direct (dark direct)	User sees you named in an answer, later types your URL.	Direct traffic
Brand-paid	User searches your brand name, clicks your own ad.	Paid / brand
Labeled AI referral	User clicks a source link in ChatGPT / Perplexity.	Referral (honest sliver)

Because three of the four destinations are mislabeled or invisible, **organic looks flat or declining while the brand is actually winning**. In the anonymized case, Direct signups **more than doubled year over year** in a single quarter while reported Organic grew low single digits — and Direct's share of new customers, which had held a narrow band for seven consecutive quarters, broke out during the same three months the brand rose to the most-cited answer in its category.

5. Why your dashboards say “flat”

Analytics needs the click — specifically the *referrer* attached to it — to attribute a visit to a source. Zero-click and AI-answer journeys remove exactly that. The result is systematic mislabeling:

- Organic search wins the answer, the answer satisfies or redirects the user, and the credit lands in **Direct** or nowhere.
- Paid brand quietly buys back the demand the organic content created — an attribution tax.
- The only cleanly-labeled fragment is AI referral traffic, and it is a small share of the true influence.

The practical consequence: **teams read their best-performing channel as flat**, underfund the content actually driving growth, and misprice their pipeline. The fix is to stop reading single-channel labels and start measuring **combined search-driven demand** (organic + direct together) plus first-party signals (Section 7).

6. The economics: AI-referred visitors convert better

There is a counter-intuitive upside. Users who arrive after an AI answer named you are **pre-sold** — an answer engine already recommended you, so they arrive later in the decision and convert at a higher rate.

OBSERVED CONVERSION GAP

In the anonymized dataset, labeled AI-referral visitors converted to paying customers roughly **seven times better** than classic organic sessions. That labeled sliver — the visible tenth of the iceberg, and overwhelmingly from a single assistant — grew from nothing to a material acquisition channel inside two years.

The strategic read: being the **cited answer** is now a distinct, measurable, high-value acquisition surface — even though most of it hides inside “Direct.”

7. Why AI-Overview clicks are nearly invisible

When an AI Overview or featured snippet cites a page, the citation link usually carries a **text fragment** — the **#:~:text=** suffix that tells the browser which sentence to highlight. Two facts make these clicks disappear from standard analytics:

1. Browsers do not send URL fragments to servers — the **#:~:text=** part never reaches your backend.
2. Google Analytics 4 strips fragments from stored URLs — in one forensic scan of ~1.18M rows, only 0.0115% retained any fragment at all.

So AI-Overview clicks look like zero in GA4 — not because they don’t happen, but because the evidence is destroyed on arrival. Two surviving rows in that scan proved existence and invisibility at once: one AI-citation click booked as “Organic Search,” another (referrer-less, machine-generated fragment) booked as “Untracked.” Measuring these requires reading the fragment **in the browser, before it’s destroyed** — covered in the companion, “Measuring & Attributing AI / Zero-Click Traffic.”

8. What this means for you

3. **Stop reading “organic” in isolation.** A flat organic line can hide a brand winning the answer economy. Track organic + direct combined.
4. **Watch the scissors.** Rising impressions/position with falling CTR is the zero-click signature — monitor click yield per 1,000 impressions over time.
5. **Instrument first-party.** Capture AI referrers and the `#:~:text=` fragment at the browser to see what GA4 cannot.
6. **Compete for the citation, not just the ranking.** Being named in the answer is the new front page. Measure your share of AI answers versus competitors.
7. **Re-value the channels.** AI-influenced arrivals convert better; budgeting them as “unknown Direct” starves the content that feeds them.

Key terms

Term	Definition
Zero-click search	A search answered without a click to any website.
AI Overview	Google's generative answer atop results, synthesized from and citing multiple sources.
Featured snippet	An extracted answer shown above the classic links.
Dark direct	Direct traffic that is actually AI- or answer-influenced arrivals the browser couldn't attribute.
Text fragment (<code>#:~:text=</code>)	The scroll-to-text suffix answer engines append to citation links; invisible to servers, stripped by GA4.
Click yield	Clicks produced per 1,000 impressions — how much traffic a unit of demand still pays.
Share of AI answers	How often, and how prominently, an AI engine names your brand for a set of prompts.
SDC	Search-Driven Customers — organic + direct combined; immune to label drift between the two.

Sources & method

Published research

- SparkToro, “*In 2026, Less than One Third of Google Searches Still Send a Click*” (2026 study, data via Similarweb) and “*2024 Zero-Click Search Study*” (data via Datos). Source of the 60.45% → 68.01% zero-click share and the 374 → 276 clicks per 1,000 searches figures. sparktoro.com/blog

- Pew Research Center, “Google users are less likely to click on links when an AI summary appears in the results” (July 2025), based on the browsing behavior of 900 U.S. adults. Source of the 8% vs 15% click rate, the 1% in-summary click rate, and the 26% vs 16% session-abandonment figures.
pewresearch.org

Anonymized case data

The longitudinal figures in Sections 3, 4, 6 and 7 come from a single mid-market U.S. software company’s own Google Search Console and analytics data, covering roughly three years and reviewed with permission. The company is not identified, and no revenue, customer counts, signup volumes, or other commercially sensitive absolutes are disclosed. Search Console metrics are indexed to a 2023 baseline; conversion and channel effects are expressed as relative changes only.

Scope & disclaimer

This document is a plain-language reference published by DigiJaws for informational purposes only. Third-party findings are attributed to their publishers and are the property of those publishers; DigiJaws is not affiliated with, endorsed by, or speaking for any organization cited here. The anonymized case figures illustrate the mechanics of zero-click search and are not benchmarks, projections, or guarantees — search behavior varies substantially by industry, query class, and geography. Nothing here is legal, financial, or investment advice, and no warranty is made as to accuracy, completeness, or fitness for a particular purpose. Verify all figures against your own data before acting on them.

© 2026 DigiJaws. You may quote and cite this document with attribution to DigiJaws (digijaws.com).